

Frequency Migration Regulation ICASA Hearings

The risks of proposed migration plan on the satellite bands



ESOA's Satellite Operators





Not for profit organisation

11 satellite operators

161 satellites

Members provide FSS, BSS and MSS service across Europe and Africa Serve South Africa, SADC, surrounding oceans and airspace



Indispensible services put at risk

- Heavy use of satellite bands in South Africa / SADC
- Government and strategic communications
- Complementary services for mobile networks
- Point-to-point trunking
- High-volume data and broadcast transmission
- Emergency and disaster communications
- Rural and remote telephony
- Aeronautical and maritime services
- TV transmission to receive-only earth stations
- Communications for key industry (mining, exploration)



How Much Connectivity is at Risk?

The current numbers...

- •41 satellites operating C band over SADC
- •6 new satellites will carry it soon
- •Total coverage of SADC region
- •Growth of supply answers region's call



Context

- Familiar debate for international community connected to satcoms
- Use of C band widely discussed, conclusions remain same
- Technical arm of United Nations addressed it:
 - International Telecommunication Union (ITU) provides international regulatory framework for frequency use
 - ITU's supreme body (WRC) has spoken
- In 2007, ITU recognised the necessity to retain C-band in full for satellite services



Reasons for ITU Position

- Majority of Member States sensitive towards consequences to users
- High risk of interference to FSS earth stations
- Proven demand for more FSS at C band
- Unproven need for C band by other services
- Links between C band connectivity and economic development
- Indispensability of satellite use of 3.4-4.2GHz



Risks to Rejecting ITU

- WRC-07 deliberations demonstrate risks to allowing fixed services in 3.4-4.2GHz (unacceptable interference levels)
- WGET, other UN agencies concurred
- ITU reconfirms challenges to FSS/BWA compatibility again and again

- ITU-R Reports S.2199, M.2109, S1432, and SF.1006

 Also: South Africa should consider regional/global impact of de-harmonising spectrum



What Problem Are We Solving?

- South Africa has already taken for IMT/BFWA, the lower 200 MHz of C-band (3400-3600 MHz), which are globally allocated to fixed-satellite services
- Current deployment in and usage of existing frequency bands (including the 3400-3600 MHz) by terrestrial wireless services should be studied before looking for additional frequency bands for IMT/BFWA
- With unproven demand & lack of experience, it is premature to decide for change



New Technologies Can Use Other Bands – Satellites Cannot

- Migration is not an option: Ku band is **not** C band
- C band enables operations in worst climatic conditions
 - Ku- and Ka-band more subject to rain fade
- Provides unparalleled reliability
- C band enables global beams connecting whole of Africa
- Allows for lower-cost of services to the region
- Connects with robust terrestrial infrastructure
- Least-developed and equatorial regions have no alternative



Should Not Jeopardise Infrastructure that Works

- Billions invested in space infrastructure
- C-band missions dedicated to the needs of SA and region
- No other radio service takes local requirements so seriously
 - With such significant investment
 - With such high up-front costs
 - Maximising efficient use of frequencies



Other satellite issues

L, extended L and S Bands Remain Key

- Mobile satellite use on the rise in Africa
- Planned and ongoing build in L and extended L band satellites

 risk to important upfront investment
- Use of L band by fixed service will cause interference that into key MSS services – in SA *and* neighbours
- No interference mitigation possible
- Proposals for S band mean planned services in Europe won't come to SA or neighbours
- Preserving key MSS services means transitioning FS systems out of these bands



Conclusions

- International best practice says: keep C band, Lband, extended L-band and S-band for satellite
- International community has spoken: keep C band for satellite
- Technical studies make clear: C band best for reliable FSS services
- Shared use with FS not feasible in MSS bands
- Terrestrial wireless services should first start using assigned frequency bands before pursuing bands extensively used by existing services
- Should not cut off the connectivity that keeps national and regional economy in growth